



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
ATTECATION NO.	TIENO DATE	TIKST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNET DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,717	06/20/2003	Mark R. Tweedy	40046-53	5859
7	590 04/22/2004		EXAMINER	
CLIFFORD A. POFF			VALENZA, JOSEPH E	
SUITE 115				
9800 B MCKNIGHT ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PITTSBURGH, PA 15237			3651	
	DATE MAILED: 04/22/2004		4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	\		
Office Action Summany		10/600,717	TWEEDY ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Joseph Valenza	3651			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period where to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT . cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communic.	eation.		
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•				
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 and 24-29 is/are pending in the	annlication				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) 1-16 and 24-29 is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		y the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152	2.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
۵٫۱	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not re	eceived.			
Attachment	• •	🗖				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
3) 🔯 Inforn	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>3</u> .	5) 🔲 Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S Patent and Tr		6)	-			

Application/Control Number: 10/600,717

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-16 and 24-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rudolph et al '821 or Strutz et al '535 in view of Southcott or Rouly et al.

Rudolph et al '821 has a transport 22, a decorator conveyor 14 and grippers 34, 36. Strutz et al '535 has a transport 90, a decorator conveyor 12 and grippers 216. It would have been obvious to modify the gripper conveyor to rotate about an acute axis relative to the vertical position of the articles on the transport conveyor and the horizontal position of the articles on the decorator conveyor as taught by the acute axis for the rotary gripper conveyor 4 of Southcott or 31, 32 of Rouly et al.

With regard to claims 2,etc. concerning pivots mounting the grippers, cam 60, followers 92 and control rods 38 rotate grippers about pivot axis 40 of Rudolph et al '821. Similarly, cam 226, followers 224 and control rods 220 rotate grippers 216 of Strutz et al '535 about the pivot axis along rods 220.

- 3. Claims 8, 9, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 as being indefinite due to the lack of a proper antecedent for "pivot" in line 2 of claim 8 and "control" in line 1 of claims 12 and 14.
- 4. Claim 29 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 24-28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,581,750. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claim only differs in obvious variations of breadth and scope.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,717

Art Unit: 3651

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Joseph E.
 Valenza at telephone number (703) 308-2577. Amendments may be faxed to 703-872-9306. My normal workweek is Monday through Thursday.

JOSEPH E. VALENZA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Joseph Valenza